

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17208 of 2023

Rajdeo Sah Son of Late Achhey Lal Sah, Resident of Village- Srirampur Ayodhya, P.S.- Pusa, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Chief Manager, State Bank of India, Stressed Management 5th Floor, Zonal Office Building, J.C. Road, Patna.
2. The Assistant General Manager, Stressed Assets Recovery Branch, State Bank of India IInd Floor, Patna Main Branch Building, Gandhi Maidan (West) Patna.
3. The Manager of State Bank of India Agriculture Development Bank, Samastipur.
4. Recovery Officer, Debt Recovery Tribunal Patna, AB and F Wings Karpuri Thakur Sadan Ashiana Road, Patna-25.
5. Shankar Sah, Son of Late Achhey Lal Sah guarantors of loan given to Shankar Sri and sons Cold Storage Srirampur Ayodhya Baini, Pusa Road, Samastipur. Resident of village Srirampur Ayodhya P.S. Pusa District Samastipur.
6. Neela Devi, Wife of Sri Shankar Sah, guarantors of loan given to Shankar Sri and sons Cold Storage Srirampur Ayodhya Baini, Pusa Road, Samastipur. Resident of village Srirampur Ayodhya P.S. Pusa District Samastipur.
7. Sanjay Kumar, Son of Sri Shankar Sah Directors of Shankar Sri and Sons Cold Storage Pvt. Ltd. Srirampur Ayodhya Baini, Pusa Road, Samastipur. Resident of village Srirampur Ayodhya P.S. Pusa District Samastipur.
8. Upendra Chaudhary, Guarantor of M/S Shankar Sri and Sons Cold Storage Pvt. Ltd., Registered Office at Srirampur Ayodhya Baini Pusa Road, P.S. Pusa District Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Madhuri Kumari, Adv.
For Respondent Nos.1to3:	:	Mr. Anant Kumar Sharan, Adv.
	:	Mr. Prabhat Kumar Sharan, Adv.
	:	Mr. Jayant Kumar Sharan, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Additional Solicitor General

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2025 A perusal of the impugned orders dated 13.04.2022 & order dated 25.09.2023 reveal that the objections filed by one Indu Devi, Mamta Kumari and Rajdeo Sah were pending before



the Recovery Officer.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent-Bank, on instructions, has stated that the objections filed by the above three persons are still pending before authority and till date no orders have been passed. Without going into the merits or demerits of the case, having regard to the fact that the recovery officer is a Party-Respondent in the present writ petition. The Respondent No. 4 is directed to dispose of the objections filed by the above three persons as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of the copy of this order. In case any other objections are filed, the same shall also be considered in accordance with law. It is needless to observe that before considering the objections, an opportunity of hearing will be given to the objection petitioner.

3. With the above directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

Ayush/-

U			
---	--	--	--

