

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82798 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-239 Year-2022 Thana- PATAHI District- East Champaran

Raj Jha @ Dharmendra Jha son of Rajesh Jha village- Jamuna, Ps- Dhaka,
Dist- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Puja Kumari Daughter of Pramod Kumar Jha village- Mahmdi, Ps- Patahi,
dist- East Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manjeet Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar, APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Gupta, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 26-06-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Patahi P.S. Case no.239 of 2022 registered under Sections
498A, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the
D.P. Act.
3. The case is one under Section 498-A of the Indian
Penal Code and petitioner is the husband. The allegations
against the petitioner is of demand of dowry and torture.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
earlier vide order dated 29.04.2025, the matter was sent to the
Patna High Court, Mediation Center for reaching settlement



between the parties and a report of the Mediation Center is available on the record.

5. A perusal of the same would go to show that memorandum of agreement has been reached between the parties in the Mediation Proceeding No.631 of 2025 dated 24.06.2025. It has been agreed that for a payment of amount of Rs.8 lakhs by the petitioner to the opposite party no.2, one time settlement has been reached and the said payment would be full and final and all disputes, litigation and grievances between the parties would be resolved. First installment of Rs.2,72,000/- has already been paid to the opposite party no.2 which has been indicated in the memo of agreement and for the remaining amount of Rs. 5,28,000/- it has been agreed that second installment of Rs.2,64,000/- of would be paid at the time of furnishing bail bonds in the learned Court below and third installment at the time of recording of the evidence of opposite party no.2 in Patahi P.S. Case No. 239 of 2022.

6. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State. However, learned counsel for the informant has no objection for the same.

7. Taking into consideration the mediation report of settlement between the parties, it is directed that the petitioner,



above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of eight weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Patahi P.S. Case no.239 of 2022 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M, East Champaran at Motihari, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023 and subject to the further condition that second installment of Rs.2,64,000/- shall be produced by the petitioner at the time of furnishing bail bonds in the learned Court below.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

