

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75753 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2025 Thana- Kurth District- Arwal

Madan Yadav @ Madan Kumar Son of Laldev Yadav Resident of village-
Barka Gaon, (pondil), P S- Kurtha, Dist- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Uday Narayan Singh
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Yogendra Kumar Singh, APP
	Mr. Zainul Abedin
	Mr. Ramendra Kumar Bharti
	Mr, Irfan Ahmad Ansar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2),
190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that the accused persons, who are his uncle (Mausa),
called him and his parents for discussing property issue after the
death of his maternal grandmother, accordingly the informant



along with his father and mother went to meet them, when Rocky assaulted his father by rod causing injury on head, thereafter Sanjay, Ramesh and Vinay assaulted by lathi and danda and the accused persons snatched his mother's chain and acted inappropriately.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to property of maternal grandmother, the present false criminal case came to be instituted. It is further submitted that petitioner is uncle (mausa) of the informant. It is also submitted that from perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that informant claims to be an eye-witness to the occurrence, but then does not even remotely suggest/allege that petitioner even assaulted. It is further submitted that in order to settle civil dispute, a criminal case has been instituted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the



event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kurtha P.S. Case No. 84 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

