

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81395 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-238 Year-2020 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

Ravindra Rai Son of Suresh Rai Resident of village - Bhorkhiya Tola
Chhikuliya, P.S.- Pipra, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 18-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Pipra
P.S. Case No. 238 of 2020 instituted for the offences under
Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 307, 354, 447, 379, 380,
427, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, all the accused
persons including the petitioner came at the door of the
informant and assaulted him and his family members. It is
further alleged that this petitioner gave farsa blow on the head
of Dulari Devi and Janki Devi.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. The only specific allegation against the petitioner is that he gave farsa blow to the Dulari Devi and Janki Devi. Learned counsel further submitted that as per the injury report, the injury sustained by Dulari Devi are grievous in nature whereas that of Janki Devi is simple in nature. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner filed a petition to constitute medical board for getting the injury report but the injured did not submit relevant documents to police or before medical board and the aforesaid injury report was issued by private doctor. Learned counsel further submitted that offence under Section 307 of the IPC is only ornamental in nature. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 12.09.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of injury and charge-sheet already being submitted as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 238 of 2020.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

