

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76335 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saran

Vinay Kumar, S/o Ramjit Rai, R/o Village- Loha Tola, Chapra, P.S- Khaira,
Dist- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mahashweta Sinha, Inspector-cum- S.H.O. Mahila P.S- Saran at Chapra
Inspector-cum- S.H.O. Mahila P.S- Saran at Chapra

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-11-2025 Heard Mr. Krishna Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, learned APP for

the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Saran Mahila P.S. Case No. 75 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 79, 111, 141, 143, 145, 98,
296 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., Sections 13 and 14 of POCSO Act,
Section 79 of J.J. Act, Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 of I.T.P. Act and
Section 16 of the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
petitioner who is associate of an Orchestra Operator and it is
further alleged that on the information that the petitioner along



with his associate was indulged in using the minor girls for dance in orchestra.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. It has also been submitted that in this case, the victims were recovered and their statement was taken. From perusal of the order of the learned trial Court, it is clear that all the victims have stated that they were working in the orchestra. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is a student. He is not the associate of the orchestra rather he has gone to contact the orchestra owner for a marriage party. He is having no criminal antecedent and he is in judicial custody since 15.08.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Exclusive Special Additional Sessions Judge, POCSO, Saran at
Chapra in connection with Saran Mahila P.S. Case No. 75 of
2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

