

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3871 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11402 of 2024

=====

Sanjay Kumar Singh S/o Hariharinath Singh, R/o-Vill and P.O. Baiju Barhoga, Barhoga Khurd, P.S.-Basantpur, District-Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Dipak Kumar Singh Additional Chief Secretary Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Anil Kumar Pandey, the Deputy Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar.
3. Sri Gopal Meena, the Divisional Commissioner, Saran at Chapra.
4. Mr. Mukul Kumar Gupta, the District Magistrate, Siwan.
5. Mr. Ranjan Kumar Chouhan, the Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Siwan.
6. Mr. Ajmat Ali Ansari, the Circle Officer, Basantpur, Siwan.
7. Mr. Manoj Kr. Gupta, the Officer in Charge, P.S.-Basantpur, Siwan.
8. Birendra Prasad Srivastava, S/o Bachha Prasad Srivastava, R/o-Village and P.O. Baiju Barhoga, Barhoga Khurd, P.S.-Basantpur, District-Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Government Pleader 25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

9 12-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the opposite parties.

2. The present contempt application has been filed for initiation of contempt proceeding against the erring officials/opposite parties for not complying order dated 01.08.2024 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 11402 of 2024.

3. A show cause has been filed on behalf of O.P. Nos.



4 and 6. Referring to the statements made in paragraph Nos. 8 and 9 of the show cause, learned counsel for the opposite parties submits that the claim of the petitioner has been redressed by the Circle Officer vide order dated 09.11.2025. He further submits that the petitioner is aggrieved with private respondent No. 8 (O.P. No. 8 herein) and they have amicably settled their dispute and accordingly order dated 09.11.2025 was passed stating that provision of Bihar Public Land Encroachment Act, 1956 is not attracted.

4. In view of the above, the present contempt application stands disposed of.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Pankaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

