

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78661 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-226 Year-2025 Thana- Madhubani T District- Purnia

Md. Margub Alam S/o Md. Sabbir Resident of Village- Parora, P.S.- K.
Nagar, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sushil Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Madhubani PS Case No. 226 of 2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 8(c) and 21(b) of the NDPS
Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case under the NDPS Act and
the informant alleges that he received secret information that
petitioner along with his associates have kept codeine syrup in
large quantity in room no.7 of rented lodge of Dinesh near RKK
college, accordingly the informant informed his superior officer
and got a Magistrate deputed and thereafter reached the place of
occurrence and two persons were apprehended who disclosed



their names as Rahul and Surendra, while two accused fled and 3538 bottles of codeine syrup of 100 ml each along with 66 bottles of liquor was recovered and owner of the lodge Dinesh was also not present.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is next submitted that the persons who are alleged to have fled from the place of occurrence is not the petitioner. It is also submitted that during the course of investigation, the petitioner came to be implicated on the ground that the room from where codeine was recovered was rented by the petitioner and he was staying in the same room.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that petitioner earlier was implicated in a case related to NDPS as such this is the second case under the NDPS Act, it is further submitted that of late in the State of Bihar codeine has become a menace and investigation of the case is in its nascent stages and the informant alleges that he received secret information about the involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence.

6. Considering the submission made by learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory



bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

