

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3276 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10834 of 2023

=====

Pramod Kumar Son Shri Vishwanath Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-
Dubiyahi, P.S.-Saraiya, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri K. K. Pathak, presently posted as Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Dr. Shailendra Kumar Chaurbedi, presently posted as Vice Chancellor, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
4. Dr. Sanjay Kumar, presently posted as Registrar, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
5. Mr. Binod Kumar, presently posted as Finance Officer, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
6. Dr. Mamta Rani, presently posted as Principal, Ram Briksh Benipuri Mahila College, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bindhyachal Singh, Senior Advocate Mr.Shashi Bhushan Singh, Advocate
For the University	:	Mr.Mrigank Mauli, Senior Advocate Mr.Viveka Nand Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Rajesh Kumar Singh, AC to GP 23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

11 10-10-2025 Heard Mr. Mr. Bindhyachal Singh, learned Senior Advocate along with Mr. Shashi Bhushan Singh, learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Mrigank Mauli, learned Senior Advocate along with Mr.Viveka Nand Singh, learned counsels for the University and Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, learned AC to GP 23.

2. Mr. Bindhyachal Singh, learned Senior Advocate



along with Mr. Shashi Bhushan Singh, learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner informs that the petitioner will again approach the present Vice-chancellor and the Registrar of the University to place his grievance with more clear picture so that the consideration made by the erstwhile Vice Chancellor and the Registrar, who appear to have no knowledge about arithmetical calculation in the fixation of the pay scale, can be rectified.

3. That being the case, this Court will appropriate the action of the present Vice Chancellor, who is expected not to harass the petitioner in particular and employees in general in any manner for mere fixation of the pay, which according to the petitioner was allowed in Level- 7 and abruptly the same has been reduced to lower level.

4. It is expected that the Vice Chancellor at his own level will ensure to redress the grievance afresh. This Court renders any order, which has been passed without considering the grievance of the petitioner, to be inoperative.

5. In case, the Vice Chancellor wants any help of the Accountant then he can approach the Accountant General (Bihar) so that the Accountant General (Bihar) can provide him an Officer, who can assist him in calculation and determining



the exact amount to be paid to the petitioner at the same time must give reasons as to why from Level -7 due to miscalculation, the pay of the petitioner was fixed in lower level.

6. At this stage, Mr. Mrigank Mauli, learned Senior Advocate requests that the petitioner may also co-operate with the Vice Chancellor.

8. The present contempt petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

chn/-

U		.	
---	--	---	--

