

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77461 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-25 Year-2024 Thana- RAUTARA District- Katihar

1. Nitu Devi Daughter of Upendra Mahto Resident of Village- Chandwa, Ps- Routara, Dist- Katihar
2. Ajay Kumar Mandal @ Ajay Kumar Son of Ratan Mandal Resident of Village- Nayatola Prampur, Ps- Prampur, Dist- Katihar
3. Dilip Mandal Son of Ratan Mandal Resident of Village- Nayatola Prampur, Ps- Prampur, Dist- Katihar
4. Jitendra Mandal @ Jitendra Kumar Son of Ratan Mandal Resident of Village- Nayatola Prampur, Ps- Prampur, Dist- Katihar
5. Viky Kumar @ Vikram Kumar Mandal son of Ratan Mandal Resident of Village- Nayatola Prampur, Ps- Prampur, Dist- Katihar
6. Rita Devi Wife of Prakash Paswan Resident of Village- Nayatola Prampur, Ps- Prampur, Dist- Katihar
7. Raja Kumar @ Raj Kumar Mandal Son of Prakash Paswan Resident of Village- Nayatola Prampur, Ps- Prampur, Dist- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 11-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Rautara P.S. Case No. 25/2024 dated 12.04.2024 registered for the offence punishable u/ss 366, 498 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has



alleged that the petitioner no. 2 after luring his wife (petitioner no. 1) took the informant's daughter along with him with the help of the petitioners. When the informant went to the house of the petitioner no. 2, the accused persons started abusing him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. There is a general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. The petitioner no. 1 is the wife of the informant. The entire allegation levelled against the petitioners is false, baseless and concocted. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Katihar in connection with Rautara P.S. Case No. 25/2024, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., with further condition:-



(i). The petitioners are directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioners are liable to be cancelled.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

