

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76392 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-140 Year-2025 Thana- SALIMPUR District- Patna

=====

Ankush Kumar Son of Arun Kumar @ Arun Singh R/O Village- Mogalpur
Bigha, P.S.- Salimpur, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jay Ram Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Salimpur PS Case No. 140 of 2025, registered for the
offences punishable under Section 309(4) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that 4 unknown accused intercepted him and looted Rs.
5,62,500/- and motorcycle at point of weapon and their face was
masked.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
FIR was against unknown and the name of the petitioner
transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused



Amresh in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that no doubt name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of Amresh in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value, but then some looted amount was also recovered from the house of Amresh, which *prima facie* demonstrates his involvement in the occurrence, it is thus submitted that since name of the petitioner came in the confessional statement of co-accused from whose house looted money was recovered, as such it is not a case for grant of anticipatory bail, when investigation of the case is in its nascent stages.

6. Considering the submission made by learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

