

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82669 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2024 Thana- Chiutahan(Naxal) District- West
Champaran

-
1. Munni Uraon @ Munnilal Uraon, S/O Late Umagir, Resident of Ward No.- 02, Bhitaha, P.S- Cheutaha, District - West Champaran, State Bihar
 2. Narayan Uraon, S/o Late Bachha Uraon, Resident of Ward No.- 02, Bhitaha, P.S- Cheutaha, District - West Champaran, State Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Cebin Mathew, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 30-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection
with Cheutaha P.S. Case No. 11 of 2024 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners
along with one Dhruv Sah have gone to collect their wages from
Kundan Uraon, resident of village Hasanapur. It is further
alleged that Rs. 3,200/- was paid through phone pay. Munni
Uraon and Narayan Uraon returned back but Dhruv Sah did not
return. The informant suspects that these two persons have



committed the murder of the deceased Dhruv Sah.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that from perusal of the case diary, it is clear that even no motive has been imputed against the petitioners and why they will kill, the reason is also not clear from the diary. It has also been submitted that all three are labourers and they have gone to collect their wages. From perusal of the postmortem report, it transpires that the cause of death is asphyxia due to throttling. From perusal of the entire diary, only this fact has come that the petitioners were seen with Dhruv Sah while going to collect wages. Except this, there is nothing against the petitioners. A statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioners have no criminal antecedent. They are languishing in judicial custody since 16.06.2024.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named



petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Cheutaha P.S. Case No.11 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

