

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78876 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-157 Year-2023 Thana- KACCHWA District- Rohtas

RAM DHANI SINGH S/O Rajendra Singh Resident of Village- Bharkuriya,  
Police Station- Kachhwa, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                      |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.N.K.Agrawal, Sr. Advocate         |
|                          |   | Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Ms.Pushpa Sinha, A.P.P.              |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      15-07-2025                      Heard Mr. N. K. Agrawal, learned Senior Counsel  
for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for  
the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the  
offences under Sections 302, 120(B), 201, 34 of the Indian  
Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the marriage of the  
sister of the informant was solemnized with Ram Dhani Singh  
(petitioner). It has been alleged that on 03.11.2023, Pushpa Devi  
had gone to her in-laws house, but her husband (petitioner) used  
to threaten her to kill her. Subsequently, it has been alleged that  
on 04.11.2023, the informant had gone to the matrimonial  
village of his sister and there he came to know that the petitioner  
along with his family members had murdered his sister.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case as there is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and even if the allegations are taken into account, the petitioner is said to have conspired in killing of his wife. Learned counsel next submits that from perusal of the case diary, it is evident from the conversation between the deceased and her husband that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and is in custody since 21.08.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner being the husband of the deceased cannot be absolved of the crime.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody, let the petitioner above-named be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kachhwa P.S. Case No. 157/2023 subject to the conditions :-



- a. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.
  - b. The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
  - c. In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.
  - d. The Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the aforementioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.
7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

tusharika/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

