

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80309 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-253 Year-2025 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

1. Sita Devi Wife of Prem Kumar @ Prem Raut Residence of village - Ujiyarpur, Ps- Ujiyarpur, Dist- Samastipur (As Per the FIR), but the correct address Resident of village- Chandchaur Mathurapur, Ps- Ujiyarpur, dist- Samastipur
2. Sushmita Devi @ sushmita kumari wife of Guddu Kumar Residence of village - Ujiyarpur, Ps- Ujiyarpur, Dist- Samastipur (As Per the FIR), but the correct address Resident of village- Chandchaur Mathurapur, Ps- Ujiyarpur, dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ravindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Ravindra Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 87 and 140(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and are women and the informant alleges that his daughter aged about 30 years is missing since 23.03.2025, further his daughter used to remain in touch in Guddu, thus alleges that accused persons including the petitioner abducted his daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the



petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted, victim is bhabhi of Guddu. It is next submitted that petitioners are mother and wife of Guddu, and they came to be implicated only with a view to force Guddu into allegation. It is further submitted that victim came back and her statement was recorded under section 183 B.N.S.S. wherein she supported the case of the prosecution but then it is submitted that it is not probable that the mother and wife of Guddu would have participated in the occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sadar (Hajipur) P.S. Case No. 253 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

