

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2469 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-567 Year-2024 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

Yugal Kishore Ray, Son of Late Mahabir Ray, Resident of Village- Dighi Kala, Ward No.- 26, P.O.- Dighi Kala, P.S.- Hajipur Sadar, Distt.- Vaishali at Hajipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Directorate General of Police Patna, Bihar Bihar
2. The Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur Bihar
3. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali at Hajipur Bihar
4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hajipur Sadar Bihar
5. The S.H.O. of Hajipur Sadar Bihar
6. The Investigation Officer of the Case Bihar
7. Deepak Kumar Son of Suraj Ray Resident of Village- Dighi Kala East, P.S.- Hajipur Sadar, Distt.- Vaishali at Hajipur
8. Sahil Kumar Son of Anil Ray Resident of Village- Dighi Kala East, P.S.- Hajipur Sadar, Distt.- Vaishali at Hajipur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ajay Behari Sinha, G.A 8

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIBEK CHAUDHURI
ORAL ORDER

3 08-04-2025 Hajipur Sadar P. S Case No. 567 of 2024 has been registered for the offences under Section 103(1) and 3(5) of the BNS and Section 27 of the Arms Act against the Respondent Nos. 7 and 8 and others, on the basis of a complaint submitted by the father of the deceased on 12th of August, 2024.

2. Now, the allegation of the informant/petitioner is that till date police did not arrest the said two FIR named accused persons.



3. It is also submitted by the learned Advocate for the petitioner that initially the Respondent Nos. 7 and 8 were arrested on 14th of August, 2024 but subsequently they were set free without formally arresting them in connection with the instant case.

4. Under such circumstance, the petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for proper investigation.

5. It is submitted by the learned Advocate on behalf of the State/Respondents on instruction that warrant of arrest has already been issued against the Respondent Nos. 7 and 8 as they are absconding. The Investigating Officer shall also take further steps after receiving the execution report of the warrant of arrest.

6. Thus, from the submission made by the learned Advocate for the State/Respondents, this Court finds that action has already been taken to nab the Respondent Nos. 7 and 8. Therefore, I do not think it proper at this stage to pass any further direction upon the I.O. for proper investigation.

7. The petitioner is at liberty to provide relevant information, if any, regarding whereabouts of the above-named private respondents and if such information is forwarded to the



Investigating Officer, he shall act upon it for the execution of the warrant of arrest.

8. With the above observation, the instant writ petition is disposed of.

(Bibek Chaudhuri, J)

uttam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

