

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79489 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2024 Thana- BASOPATTI District- Madhubani

Taslim Mansur Son of Rajaak Mansur Resident of Village- Mahinathpur, P.S.-
Basopatti, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarun Prasad Mandal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 25-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with Basopatti P.S. Case No. 44/2024 registered under Sections 20, 23, 24 and 25 of the NDPS Act, 1985.

3. The case of the prosecution is that from the house of the petitioner 128 gms of '*brown sugar*', 275 gms of '*ganja*', 49 gms of '*hashish*', 217 bottles of New Codiwell Cough syrup (100 ml), 120 bottles of Exiplon Cough syrup (100 ml) 88 bottles of Rexcof-DX cough Syrup (100 ml), 184 capsules of Cap. Spasmo Prxyvon and 90 tablets of Nitrosum (10 mg each) and 117 tablets of Nitravet (10 mg each) and Indian and Nepali currency were recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. Moreover, petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 16.07.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail stating that the petitioner has three criminal antecedent and one of them is of similar in nature. It is further submitted that the quantity of syrup which has been recovered from the house of the petitioner is more than commercial quantity. As such, petitioner does not deserve bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the submission put forward by the parties, I am not inclined to extend him the privilege of bail for the present which is accordingly rejected.

7. However, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after one year, if the trial is not concluded.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jagdish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

