

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78752 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-103 Year-2024 Thana- RAJGIR District- Nalanda

Renu Bala W/O Late Rajeev Kumar Resident of village - Barchhi Bigha, P.O
and P.S Giriyak ,District Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Lal Mohammad, Police Inspector-cum- Enquiry Officer, Vigilance
Investigation Bureau, Patna Division Patna, Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Ajay Mishra, APP
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Paritosh Parimal, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

7 26-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel

for the Vigilance.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in a case

registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467,

468, 471, 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution story, the allegation against

the petitioner is that she had obtained appointment on the post

of Panchayat Teacher on the basis of forged certificates.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner had completed the course and was allowed to appear

in the examination conducted by the State council of Education



Research and Training, Assam, Guwahati and she has succeeded in the said examination. It is further submitted that alleged certificate was not verified by the Department and thereafter, it is said that the certificate and mark-sheets has been said to be fake. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he has filed a second supplementary affidavit in the matter and has brought on record a letter dated 22.05.2025 issued by the Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Rajgir by giving reference of the letter bearing Memo No. 2119 dated 25.04.2025 by the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nalanda who had cancelled the appointment of the petitioner with the immediate effect. Further, similarly situated persons have already been granted bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 16.12.2024 and 18.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 81770 of 2024 and Cr. Misc. No. 64811 of 2024 respectively.

5. However, learned counsel for the Vigilance has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner on the ground that upon inquiry and verification, it is has been found he has obtained appointment on the basis of forged mark-sheets.

6. Considering all the above mentioned facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that the petitioner has been terminated from service, let the above named petitioner



in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rajgir P.S. Case No. 103 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

