

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75857 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-662 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Sanjay Paswan S/O Jogindra Paswan @ Yogendra Pasawan R/O Vill.- Ward
no. 10, Vishwakarma Mor, Sasaram, P.S.- Sasaram Town, Dist.- Rohtas At
Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amitesh Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
118(2), 117, 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that accused persons including the petitioner came and
asked him to come out of the house as he had given an
application for demolishing the platform (*Chabutara*). Further,
when the informant came out, petitioner and Anil assaulted him
by lathi and rod causing injury on head thereafter other accused
persons assaulted his family member.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that accused persons had come to his house alleging that he had given an application for getting their platform demolished. It is next submitted that though it is alleged that petitioner along with Anil assaulted him causing injury on head but then from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the injured on head has been opined to be simple though one injury on hand is opined to be grievous but then the same is on non-vital part of the body. It is also submitted that petitioner is not a criminal.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Sasaram (Town)



P.S. Case No. 662 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid
down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

