

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77557 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2024 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Vaishali

Bhullu Rai, Son of Late Ramchandera Rai, Resident of Village/Mohalla-
Saidabad, Police Station -Raghopur, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 97 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 325, 354(B), 379, 504, 506, 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of causing assault repeatedly by means of sharp edge *Farsa*, leading to serious injury; besides the allegation against the other accused persons of causing assault to the informant and others.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that prior to the institution of the present case, the wife of the petitioner lodged Raghopur P.S. Case No. 82 of 2024 against the informant and one Shambhu Rai and the present case is nothing, but a counter blast to the aforementioned case. It is further contended



that on account of previous dispute, the name of the petitioner has been implicated in order to wreak vengeance and put pressure, moreover taking note of the aforesaid facts the co-accused persons have been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail vide order dated 27.06.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 40024 of 2025. The petitioner bears fair antecedent and as per his instruction, the injury, which is sustained over the head of the informant's brother is found to be simple in nature.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that the impugned order clearly suggests that the injured brother of the informant sustained serious injury, though the nature of injury has not been disclosed.

6. Regard being had to the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the petitioner that the brother of the informant sustained simple injury, coupled with the fair antecedent and the other accused persons have been accorded the privilege of bail, let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of



Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-XV, Hajipur at Vaishali in connection with Raghapur P.S. Case No. 97 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further conditions: One of the bailors shall be the own/close relative of the petitioner and subject to confirmation that the informant's brother has sustained simple nature of injury.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

