

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78652 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-255 Year-2024 Thana- SIKANDRA District- Jamui

Jitendra Chaudhari @ Karan Raj S/o- Nandu Chaudhari Resident of Village-
Domtoli Police Station- Sikandra, Dist- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 81466 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-255 Year-2024 Thana- SIKANDRA District- Jamui

Sonu Choudhary @ Baila S/o Late Biru Choudhary R/o vill - Dom Toli, P.S. -
Sikandra, Distt.- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 78652 of 2024)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kshem Sharma, Advocate
For the Informant/s : Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Arun Bharti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 81466 of 2024)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Informant/s : Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Arun Bharti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 24-02-2025 Heard Mr. Kshem Sharma and Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sinha,

learned counsel for the petitioners; learned counsel for the

informant Mr. Rahul Kumar and Mr. Arun Bharti and Mr. Manoj

Kumar and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP for the

State.



2. The petitioners have prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offense punishable under Sections 308(5) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with Ram Ratan Choudhari went on the shop of the informant. It is alleged that Ram Ratan Choudhari took articles worth Rs. 1,52,000/- and when the informant demanded the bills, he threatened him of dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that specific allegation is against Ram Ratan Choudhari. There is no specific allegation against the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioner namely, Jitendra Chaudhari @ Karan Raj is languishing in judicial custody since 02.09.2024 whereas petitioner namely, Sonu Choudhary @ Baila is in custody since 20.08.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer of regular bail and submits that petitioner namely, Jitendra Chaudhari is having criminal antecedent of two cases whereas petitioner namely, Sonu Choudhary is having criminal antecedent of three



cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners namely, Jitendra Chaudhari and Sonu Choudhary on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be released on bail in connection with Sikandra P.S. Case No. 255 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jamui.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

