

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75925 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2025 Thana- NAUHATTA District- Rohtas

=====

Sarjan Singh @ Sarjan Kumar Singh @ Sarjan S/o Saman Singh R/o Vill-
Banahi, P.O. and P.S.- Nauhatta, Distt- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Alka Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 120(2), 121(1), 126(2), 115(2), 190(1), 132 and 352 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of seven cases but then out of seven cases, petitioner stands acquitted in four cases. It is also submitted that informant alleges that he received an information that at the house of Satyam Bhardwaj, accused Shaligram Singh along with his family members is assaulting and firing, accordingly the police reached the place of occurrence, when three accused were arrested and one accused fled, whose name



was disclosed as petitioner, further the accused persons assaulted the force with an intent to Vinod Singh.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant because of his antecedents. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation is alleged against the petitioner rather the informant alleges that he received an information that Shaligram along with his family members were resorting to firing and were assaulting the family members of Satyam Bhardwaj. It is next submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where



the case is pending/successor court in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No.192/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this court is not co-operating in the investigation in that event the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned P.S. through the learned trial court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

