

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79101 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-170 Year-2022 Thana- BHAGALPUR KOTWALI District-
Bhagalpur

=====

Raju Singh @ Raju Yadav son of Iyanial Singh Village- Naya Tola, Jurabganj,
Ps- Kodha, Dist- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

02. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kotwali (Jogsar) P.S. Case No. 170 of 2022, registered on 23.02.2022, for the offences under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

03. As per prosecution case, unknown miscreants snatched the bag of the informant in which he had kept Rs. 5,00,000/- withdrawn from the bank. The bag also contained the lock and key of the house, the passbooks of the informant and his wife, cheque book and fixed deposit receipt. The name of the petitioner and co-accused persons transpired during investigation for being involved in the said case.



04. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The FIR was lodged against two unknown persons who were riding a motorcycle and who snatched the bag but a number of persons have been made accused in this case. Recovery of Rs. 1,12,000/- has been shown from the house of the petitioner but the said money belongs to the petitioner and it was not looted money. The petitioner has been made accused in this case merely on suspicion. The petitioner is having clean antecedent.

05. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. Learned APP submits that recovery of looted money of Rs. 1,12,000/- bearing stamp of SBI P.B.B. was made from the house of the petitioner. Further recovery of Rs. 2,50,000/- was made from one of the co-accused persons.

06. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and nature of allegation, I do not think it is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail and hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Ashish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

