

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74769 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-2025 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

-
1. Pradeep Kumar @ Sudip Kumar S/o Satendra Prasad @ Satyendra Prasad R/o vill - Law, P.s.- Tekari, Distt.- Gaya
 2. Udit Kumar @ Udip Kumar @ Kumar S/o Satendra Prasad @ Satyendra Prasad R/o vill - Law, P.s.- Tekari, Distt.- Gaya
 3. Arti Kumari D/o Satendra Prasad @ Satyendra Prasad R/o vill - Law, P.s.- Tekari, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Most. Saroj Devi W/o Late Ajay Prasad, R/o vill - Jafra, P.s.- Belaganj, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kr. Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. (Dr.) Mrityunjaya Kr. Gautam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the informant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case in connection with Tekari P.S. Case No. 47 of 2025 dated 27.01.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 127(1), 127(2), 115(2), 85, 87, 61, 80 and 238 of the BNS and under Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners and co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the daughter of



the informant mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of rupees one lakh as dowry. It is further alleged that informant's daughter informed her that she is receiving death threats and on 26.01.2025, when the informant reached her daughter's *sasural*, she could not find her and later she heard from nearby people that the co-accused persons have murdered her daughter and disappeared her dead body.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner nos. 1 and 2 are brothers-in-law of the deceased and petitioner no. 3 is the sister-in-law of the deceased. The petitioners neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the informant. The allegation levelled in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioners have not committed any such offence as alleged in the FIR. The petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned counsel for the informant/complainant as well as learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioners, let the petitioners named-above, in the event of their



arrest / surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Gaya, in connection with **Tekari P.S. Case No. 47 of 2025**, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

