

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74554 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-99 Year-2025 Thana- RISIYAP District- Aurangabad

=====

Arunjay Mehta Son of Mahedra Mehta R/o Village - Risiup, P.S.- Risiup,  
District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bindeshwar Prasad Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      11-11-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar  
Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of  
recovery of 15 litres of liquor from garden of Jatan Mehta. It is  
next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as  
such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and  
even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the  
petitioner and is accessible to public at large and he came to be  
implicated at the instance of chowkidar with whom his family is  
on an inimical term.
4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for  
anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Risiup P.S. Case No.99/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

