

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74625 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-125 Year-2025 Thana- Madhubani T District- Purnia

Lal Kumar @ Lal Saksena S/o- Pravin Yadav, R/v- Beltari PS- Janki Nagar,
Dist- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pooja Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Madhubani P.S. Case No. 125 of 2025, dated 14.05.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 108, 85, 351(2) and 352 read with Section 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the daughter of the informant mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of Rs. 5 lakhs as dowry. It is further alleged that on 14.05.2025, the dead body of daughter of the informant was found lying on a railway track and the informant suspects that the petitioner along with other accused persons has killed the



daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged in the FIR. Neither the deceased was subjected to any assault nor any demand of dowry was made. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 27.07.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is husband of the deceased and several witnesses have corroborated the allegation of dowry-related cruelty and harassment of the deceased and additional witnesses have also stated that the petitioner habitually misbehaved with the deceased under the influence of alcohol. The inquest report and *post-mortem* report also reflect that death occurred under suspicious circumstances.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail.



7. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest.

8. The application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

