

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77994 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2025 Thana- BADDI District- Rohtas

Sadhu Singh @ Ranjeet Kumar Singh Son of Shankar Dayal Singh R/o
Village - Nad, P.S. - Shivsagar, Dist. - Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md Jubair Ansari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Iftekhar Mahmood

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 352, 351(2), 109, 3(5) of the BNS Section 37 of the
Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
he noticed that the bulb at his door was not at its place on 20-4-
2025, thereafter on 21-4-2025 the informant started shouting, on
which the accused persons arrived in intoxicated state and
abused the informant and assaulted him by stick and rammer
causing injury on head.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegation of assault is not specific.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on Provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Baddi P.S. Case No. 65 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one



case in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

