

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77368 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2025 Thana- WAJIRGANJ District- Gaya

Purushottam Goswami S/o Anil Goswami R/o Village- Mahuaat, P.S.-
Wazirganj, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Dharendra Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Priyadarshi, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 08-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Section 103(1) of the B.N.S. and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 11.04.2025
at about 7:30 PM, when the informant, along with his younger
brother, was sitting at his shop, in the meantime, this petitioner
came there and shot younger brother of informant and before the
informant could understand, this petitioner took advantage of
the darkness and ran away. On the way to hospital, brother of



informant died due to blood loss after being shot.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner, having no criminal antecedents, is in custody since 12.04.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he shot the brother of informant due to which he died. As per *post mortem* report, cause of death is hemorrhage shock due to fire arm injury.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 12.04.2025, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy



of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

