

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76073 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-181 Year-2025 Thana- BRAHMPUR District- Buxar

Golu Singh @ Sunny Singh S/O Kamal Singh R/O Village- Kant, P.S-
Brahampur, Distt.- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sabal Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-11-2025 Heard Mr. Sabal Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Brahampur P.S. Case No. 181 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 19.09.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 109, 132, 324(4) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and under Section 27, 26 and 35 of Arms Act and under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 147.780 liters of foreign liquor and five cartridges.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the



allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and name of the petitioner transpired on basis of disclosure made by local chowkidar and except the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence and apart from that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C / Section 103 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on



behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner has clean antecedent and name of the petitioner transpired on basis of disclosure made by local chowkidar and there is no specific allegation against the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Excise Special Court no.2 at Buxar in connection with Brahampur P.S. Case No. 181 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.



ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

