

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80101 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-233 Year-2024 Thana- RAJAON District- Banka

=====

Sanyuti Devi @ Sanyukta Devi @ Sanjuti Devi W/O Ganouri Yadav Resident
Of Village- Parghari, P.S- Rajoun, Distt.- Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shyam Dev Das S/o Late Lado Das R/o Village-Parghari, P.S-Rajoun,
District-Banka

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 22-01-2025 Despite valid service of notice, no one appears on
behalf of the Opposite Party No. 2.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

3. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered
under Sections 366(a), 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section
12 of the POCSO Act.

4. As per FIR, this petitioner enticed away minor grand
daughter of informant with intention to marry her.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
denies the whole allegations as levelled against the petitioner
and submits that the victim has given two different stories in her



statements recorded under Sections 161 and 164 Cr. P.C. In Section 161 Cr.P.C., she stated the name of the petitioner but in Section 164 Cr.P.C., she named one Anjuba Devi, while petitioner is not known as Anjuba Devi. Petitioner is an old lady aged about 70 years and she has got clean antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner and submits that it was this petitioner who enticed away minor grand daughter of informant with intention to marry her. The victim in her statements recorded under Sections 161 and 164 Cr.P.C. has supported the prosecution case. The age of the victim is 12 years. Hence, petitioner does not deserve to be enlarged on bail.

7. Having considered the accusation and gravity of offence as well as the statements of victim recorded under Sections 161 and 164 Cr.P.C., prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

