

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17723 of 2023

=====

Gautam Kumar @ Gautam Kumar Verma S/o Sailun Verma, Resident of Village-Rajapur, Bodh Gaya, Gaya, P.S.-Bodhgaya, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, New Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, New Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education, Department of Education, New Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Authorised Officer, Pay Verification Cell, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna having its office at B.S.T.B.P. Corporation, Buddha Marg, Patna.
5. The Magadh University Bodh Gaya through its Registrar.
6. The Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
7. The Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
8. The Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
9. The Nodal Officer/Admin, Pay Verification, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
10. The In-charge Pay Verification, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Anjani Kumar, AAG-4
For the M.U.	:	Mr. Harsh Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 18-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the University.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner’s case is squarely covered by judgment dated 13.11.2024 passed in C.W.J.C. No.16104 of 2024.



3. In view of the aforesaid, the impugned pay verification certificate issued vide Receipt No.0208220100133, under the signature of the authorized officer, Pay Verification Cell, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna (Annexure-15) is hereby quashed and the matter is remanded back to the State authorities for proceeding afresh in accordance with law.

4. It goes without saying that the petitioner is entitled to receive pay as earlier.

5. Accordingly, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Harshita/-

U			
---	--	--	--

