

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74156 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-182 Year-2024 Thana- KHAGARIA COMPALINT CASE
District- Khagaria

=====

Barun Singh @ Varun Singh S/o Late Anik Singh R/o Village- Sanhauli, ward
no.07, P.S.- Chitragupta Nagar, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Renu Devi W/o Barun Singh, D/o Late Kapildeo Singh At present residing at
Bakhtiyarpur, Rani Sarai, P.,S.- Bakhtiyarpur, District- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Kumar Singh
Mr. Jolly Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umanath Mishra

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 03-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and as well
as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Complaint Case No. 182C/2024 registered for
the offence/s punishable u/ss 147, 149, 323, 498A of the Indian
Penal Code and ³/₄ of the DP Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the
co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the informant
mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of
dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is the husband of the informant. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the informant. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that this present case has been filed after 20 years of the marriage. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of **"Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182."**

Learned counsel has further submitted that Section 498A of the IPC is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351** and **Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023**. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned counsel for the informant as well as learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case as well as the allegation being general and omnibus, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Khagaria in connection with Complaint Case No. 182C/2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

