

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79079 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2025 Thana- AMBA District- Aurangabad

-
1. Reeta Devi Son of Mukesh Yadav R/o Village - Barwadih, P.S. - Manjhgawa, Dist. - Gaya(Bihar).
 2. Pooja Kumari Wife of Raj Bali Yadav R/o Village - Balha, P.S. - Pipra, Dist. - Palamu(Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and are women and the allegation is of recovery of 84.6 litres of liquor from three motorcycles.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and they came to be



implicated based on the fact that they are owner of the seized motorcycle. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use their own vehicle for committing a crime and thus, would create evidence against themselves and hence, would get implicated. It is further submitted that petitioners were completely unaware that Rajbali and Mukesh would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged, who were also apprehended at the spot.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/ successor Court in connection with Amba P. S. Case No.97 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after



accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioners shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event, if it is found that petitioners have antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioners for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed their antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

