IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76217 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2025 Thana- SHIVAJINAGAR District- Samastipur

==========

1. Sandeep Safi @ Sandeep Kumar Safi Son of Madan Safi @ Mohan Safi R/o - Buniyadpur, P.S - Shivajeenagar, District - Samastipur, Bihar.
2. Parwati Devi @ Pawti Devi @ Pawt Devi @ pawat didi Wife of Kuldeep Safi R/o - Buniyadpur, P.S - Shivajeenagar, District - Samastipur, Bihar.
3. Nirmala Devi @ Neelmani Devi Wife of Shambu Safi R/o - Buniyadpur, P.S - Shivajeenagar, District - Samastipur, Bihar.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Madhuri Lata

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 74, 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no.2 and 3 are women and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to land on 29.05.2025 at 8 A.M. accused Sandeep (petitioner no.1) caught her son Baljit on way to

WEB COPY

medicine shop and assaulted but her son came back home, thereafter named accused persons including the petitioners came and Mohan dashed her the ground and assaulted by an iron rod causing injury on head, thereafter Shambhu assaulted Ram Bharose by farsha causing injury on head, further Neelmani Devi (petitioner no.3) assaulted by lathi and all accused assaulted her son by rod and Parwati Devi (petitioner no.2) dashed her daughter Puja on ground, who came to save her son, while Neelmani Devi (Petitioner no.3) and Parwati Devi (petitioner no.2) assaulted her by lathi and fist and Parwati snatched her ear-ring.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is also submitted that an altercation had taken place in between the parties when both side assaulted each other. It is next submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature. It is also submitted that petitioners are not criminals and petitioner no.2

WEB COPY

and 3 are women.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Shivajinagar P.S. Case No.43/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	

WEB COPY