

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17638 of 2025

=====

Dineshwar Prasad Rai, Son of Late Rajeshwar Rai, Resident of Village and Post-Chatra, P.S.-Kharauli, District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director (SP) Sanskrit Shiksha, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna through its Secretary.
5. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
7. The District Education Officer, Madhubani.
8. The Head Master, Primary cum Middle Sanskrit School Tirhuta, Babubarhi, District-Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ratanakar Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Addl. Advocate General 13
For the BSSB	:	Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, Adv.
		Mr. Ankit, Adv.
		Mr. Aman Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is in narrow campus to the extent of non-payment of salary for the period from March, 2022 to June, 2022 which has not been paid till date, even after retirement of the petitioner on 31.07.2022.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that there is neither any proceeding pending or any advance has been paid to the petitioner or any Government amount is recoverable



from the petitioner, nonetheless, the petitioner has been deprived from rightful claim of his salary for the period afore-noted, compelling him to approach this Court by invoking the writ jurisdiction.

4. Learned Advocate for the respondents are present.

5. In view of the submissions advanced and taking note of the limited grievance of the petitioner, the present writ petition stands disposed off with a direction to the respondent no. 7 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as has been raised in Annexure 2 to the writ petition and pass appropriate and reasoned order, preferably within a period of twelve weeks from today.

6. It is made clear that if the claim of the petitioners find favour, the consequential monetary benefit must be accorded to him within the period afore-noted.

7. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

