

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75693 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2025 Thana- Lokha District- Supaul

-
1. Md. Irsad Son of Ali Hussain Resident of Village- Gangapatti, P.S. -Lokaha, District- Supaul
 2. Md. Mjid @ Md. Majid son of Md. Iliyas Resident of Village- Gangapatti, P.S. -Lokaha, District- Supaul
 3. Ruksad Khatoon @ Rukhsar Khatoon wife of Md. Shamim Resident of Village- Gangapatti, P.S. -Lokaha, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Patla Kumari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sevak Choudhary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 121(2), 132, 109, 303(2), 324(4), 324(5), 352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 3 is a woman and the informant alleges that relatives and villagers of deceased, Md. Jehangir, were protesting on road and created ruckus and even destroyed police vehicle and the



accused persons were identified by CCTV, Chowkidar and local people.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the villagers were protesting on account of death of Md. Jehangir, as such the villagers had gathered and petitioners being resident of nearby place also out of inquisitiveness came to the place of occurrence and thus came to be implicated. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and allegation of destroying the police vehicle is general and omnibus in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where



the case is pending/successor court in connection with Laukaha
P.S. Case No. 39 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

