

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81262 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-441 Year-2024 Thana- ARA NAWADA District- Bhojpur

Antu Kumar @ Chandan Kumar @ Chandan Son of Lalan Sah R/o-Village-
Bazar Samiti Anaeth, PS- Ara (Nawada), District- Bhojpur, Ara

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishwajeet Kumar Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 08-04-2025 Heard Mr. Vishwajeet Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, learned APP for the
State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 304(B) and
120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of the
informant namely, Raushni Kumari was married to the petitioner
in the year 2022. It is also alleged that she was being subjected to
cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand. It is
further alleged that on 23.06.2024 at 11:28 PM, the father-in-law
of Raushni Kumari informed the informant that she is ill.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has
falsely been implicated in this case. He has got no criminal
antecedent. He also submits that it is a case of hanging, which will
transpire from the photograph, which is annexed of the place of



the occurrence. It is further submitted that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 28.06.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submits that in this case, at 11:28 PM, the informant was informed that his daughter is ill whereas when the informant consulted the doctor, he was informed that Raushni Kumari was brought dead at 09:30 PM. From perusal of the postmortem report, it transpires that on the person of the deceased, there were four ante-mortem injuries. One is ligature mark and three injuries are bruise mark on different parts of the body. From perusal of the postmortem report, it transpires that it is not a case of hanging. Petitioner is the husband and the main thrust of allegation is against him.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage, as such, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. However, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

