

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79168 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-869 Year-2022 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

=====

Sidheshwar Kumar @ Sidheshwar Paswan Son of Late Hularchand Paswan
@ Late Dularchand Paswan Resident of Village- Bhore, PS -Mufassil, Distt.-
Gaya, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Neetu Kumari D/O- Late Bindeshwar Paswan Resident of Village- Lodipur,
P.S.- Konch Distt.- Gaya, PIn Code-824235

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prithivi Raj Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Suresh Prasad Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 08-07-2025 The opposite party no. 2 is present along with her

counsel and the learned counsel for the petitioner is also present

but the petitioner is not present today. However, since the date

in the matter was of yesterday, it is submitted that he had come

yesterday but since the Bench was not available, the matter

could not be taken up.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case

registered for the offence punishable under Sections 323, 498A

of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the

petitioner that the issues between the parties cannot be resolved

and hence, calling for the presence of the petitioner would not



be very fruitful. This Court also considers the fact that the present application was filed in the year 2024 and the matter is being dragged to this time.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 and the opposite party no. 2 herself however, expresses the desire to stay with her husband.

5. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner makes an offer on behalf of the petitioner and upon his instruction that the petitioner would be paying an amount of Rs.5000/- (rupees five thousand) per month to opposite party no.2 in the first week of every month. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceeding.

6. In such view of the matter, the provisional bail granted vide order dated 26.11.2024 stands confirmed.

7. Learned counsel for the opposite party no.2, under instruction, submits that the opposite party no.2 undertakes to provide her bank account details to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today. If the opposite party no.2 furnishes the bank account in which the amount can be transferred, and yet the petitioner fails to give the aforesaid



amount on two consecutive dates to opposite party no.2, the opposite party no.2 would be at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

8. Accordingly, this application stands disposed of.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

