

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.628 of 2023

M/s Uma Associates a partnership firm registered under the partnership Act 1872, having its office at S 20/56- 10 - 2F, 2/3 Sonal Sadan, Mall Road, Cantonment, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, through its partner Anand Singh, aged about 49 years (male), son of Ram Gopal Singh, Resident of S 20/56 - 10 - 2F, 2/3 Sonal Sadan, Mall Road, Cantonment, P.S. Cant, District Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Mines and Geology Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary Cum Mines Commissioner, Mines and Geology Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas
4. The District Mining Officer, Rohtas
5. Bihar State Electronics Development Corporation through its Managing Director, Beltron Bhawan Baldev Bhawan Road, Shastri Nagar, Patna, Bihar 800023.
6. M/s B.D Enterprises, proprietor, Uma Shankar Singh, Son of Lallan Singh, Resident of Sarweshwari Bhawan, Near Sadanath Mahadev Mandir, P.O. Gutwa, P.S. Nagari, Kathal More, Ranchi 835303, Jharkhand.
7. Bimal Kumar, Son of Shyam Sundar Yadav, Resident of Mohalla Kurji, P.O. Kurji More, P.S. Digha, Patna 800010, Bihar.
8. State Bank of India Specialized Commercial Branch, through its Branch Manager, Club Road, SBI Main Branch Campus, Opposite Kachahari, Varanasi 221002.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, Advocate Mr. Avinash Shekhar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA 7
For the Respondent No.8:	:	Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 16-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

2. Mr. Avinash Shekhar, learned counsel junior to Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks



permission to withdraw this writ application.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, the writ application stands dismissed
as withdrawn.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

