

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82213 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2024 Thana- DULHIN BAZAR District- Patna

Preman Bind, Son of Sri Udai Bind @ Rudai Bind, Resident of Village-
Jhabbuchak, P.S. - Dulhin Bazar, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Reshmi Devi Wife Sri Tunnu Nutt Resident of Village- Jhabbuchak, P.S.-
Dulhin Bazar, Distt.- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 34 of 2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 363, 365, 376 read with Section 34 of
the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4/6 of the POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the minor daughter
of the informant had gone to ease herself. When she did not return
for a considerable time, the informant started searching her and
she came to know that Raghuveer Bind and Preman Bind have
kidnapped her daughter.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no



offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that during course of investigation, the victim has given her statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. wherein she has stated that Prem Bind had taken her to Patna. She was kept in a room. She has further stated that Raghuveer Bind committed rape with her. In last part, she has stated that Prem is her brother and that he has not done anything to her. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that parties have compromise the case. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 28.03.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the informant is present and he has also admitted the factum of the compromise.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that there is no allegation against this petitioner, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st Class, Danapur in connection with Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 34 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

