

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73736 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-362 Year-2025 Thana- MADHEPURA District- Madhepura

1. Manoj Rishideo @ Manoj Rishidev S/O Ganeshi Rishideo R/o Village-Ekdahra (Ekadahara) Ward No 10 P.S- Madhepura (Bharrahi O.P) Dist- Madhepura
2. Ganeshi Rishideo S/O Late Fani Rishideo R/o Village-Ekdahra (Ekadahara) Ward No 10 P.S- Madhepura (Bharrahi O.P) Dist- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 96 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 has antecedent of one case and petitioner no. 2 is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that accused persons including the petitioners kidnapped his minor grand daughter on 18.03.2025 at 02:00 AM, further Rs. 50,000/- was found missing also.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that victim and Ranjesh were in love and they eloped. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that even Rs. 50,000/- was found missing from the house which amply demonstrates that the victim while eloping took the said amount. It is further submitted that victim has come back and has supported the allegation of her kidnapping by Ranjesh who took her to Gujarat where she stayed for ten days and thereafter came to Pastpar at her sister's house. The learned counsel submits that the victim has not disclosed anything against the petitioner. It is also submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Madhepura (Bharrahi O.P.) P.S. Case No. 362 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioners despite giving assurance to this Court are not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

