

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77614 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-588 Year-2023 Thana- BETTIAH CITY District- West
Champanan

Imran Ahmad @ Bikki @ Md. Imran Ahmad S/O Israr Ahmad @ Mister R/O-
Chhawani, Ward No- 05, P.S- Bettiah Town(Kalibagh O.P.), Dist- West
Champanan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ansul, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 20-06-2025 Heard the learned senior counsel for the petitioner
and the learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the
informant.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. Earlier the
bail application of the petitioner was rejected *vide* order dated
21.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4986 of 2024.

3. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
for the offence under Sections 341, 342, 324, 307, 323, 379,
302, 506, 109 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The following order was passed on 21.03.2024 in
Cr. Misc. No. 4986 of 2024 :-

Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner; learned APP for the State and learned
counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with Bettiah Town (Kalibagh O.P.) P.S. Case No.
588 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 341, 342, 324, 307, 323, 379, 302,
506 and 109/34 of the Indian Penal Code.



- 3. The petitioner is the assailant of the deceased. He is in jail since 30.07.2023.
- 4. Considering the fact that the petitioner is the assailant of the deceased, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.
- 5. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed.
- 6. If the trial is delayed, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

5. The petitioner is one of the assailant of the deceased. He is said to have stabbed the deceased. The postmortem report corroborates with the prosecution case. He is in custody since 30.07.2023 and the charges have already been framed.

6. Considering these circumstances, I am not inclined to review my earlier order. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

7. The court below is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner.

8. Learned counsel for the informant has assured this Court that the witnesses shall be produced in the trial on the date fixed. If, the trial is delayed by the prosecution the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

