

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77711 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-119 Year-2025 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

=====

Suman Kumar @ Suman Yadav @ Suman Kumar Yadav S/O Chhatahru  
Yadav @ Chhatharu Yadav Resident of Village- Kumiya, ward No. 11, P.S-  
Triveniganj, Distt.- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Balkrishna Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2      20-11-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 119 of 2025 instituted for the offences  
under Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, police conducted  
raids at different locations on 04.03.2025 and apprehended  
several accused including the petitioner. During the searches,  
multiple country-made pistols, kattas, live cartridges and other  
articles were recovered from their possession or premises.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the  
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. No  
incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious



possession of the petitioner. He further contended that the recovery of arms is attributed to other co-accused persons. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the recovered arms and ammunitions. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 05.03.2025 and has six criminal antecedents. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being no recovery of arms from the possession of the petitioner as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- ( Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 119 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date



fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Alok Verma/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

