

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83846 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-205 Year-2019 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

Dhuri Manjhi, S/o- Late Majhil Manjhi, Resident of Village- Sundarpur
Chauthiya, P.S.- Bikram, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bablu Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Vinod Shanker Modi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 18-03-2025 Heard Mr. Bablu Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Vinod Shanker Modi, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Bikram P.S. Case No. 205 of 2019 instituted for the offence
under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has
assaulted with *khanti* on the head of the informant's husband. It
is further alleged that in defence, the husband of the informant
also gave a *phatta* blow to the petitioner. When the informant
came to P.S. and returned home, she found that her husband has
died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has



been falsely implicated in the present case. A statement has been made in para-3 of the petition that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. He is languishing in judicial custody since 04.07.2019.

5. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that stage of trial was called for from the trial Court. From perusal of the report of trial Court, it transpires that trial Court has submitted that though no witness has been examined yet the trial will be concluded within three months.

6. Learned APP appearing for the state has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that petitioner is the main assailant who assaulted the deceased with *khanti*.

7. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner is the main assailant, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected. Report from trial Court is called, which goes to show that the trial will be completed in three months.

8. Petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after three months, if the trial is not concluded.

9. The Superintendent of Police, Patna is directed to



produce the witnesses in this case on priority basis so that trial may be concluded within time.

10. A copy of this order may be sent to the Superintendent of Police, Patna.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

