

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75352 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-264 Year-2023 Thana- ISUAPUR District- Saran

Deomati Devi @ Devmati Devi W/O Shatrughan Mahto @ Shatrudhan Mahto
R/O Vill- Chakhan, P.O- Kerwan,P.S- Ishuapur, Dist- Saran at chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-11-2025 Heard Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta, learned APP for the
State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Ishuapur P.S. Case No. 264 of 2023 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 420 & 409 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the
petitioner has defalcated altogether Rs. 12,90,000/- of *Nal Jal*
Yojana.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has
committed no offence. She has been falsely implicated in this
case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that before



the filing of this case, this petitioner had informed the police and the District Magistrate as well that the husband of Mukhia, Panchayat Secretary, and Contractor had taken three cheque from her, and they had withdrawn Rs. 18,00,000/- on the promise that they would do the work of *Nal Jal Yojana*. It has further been submitted that as no action was taken against the concerned, the petitioner has also filed a complaint case no. 201 of 2020 in the Court of C.J.M., Saran at Chapra. He also submits that nothing has been defalcated by the petitioner; rather, Rs. 18,00,000/- has been withdrawn from her account by the husband of Mukhiya, the Panchayat Secretary, and others. He also submits that the petitioner is the victim, and she is a lady having no criminal antecedent and she is languishing in judicial custody since 19.08.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial



Magistrate 1st Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with
Ishuapur P.S. Case No. 264 of 2023.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

