

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73663 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-212 Year-2025 Thana- SISWAN District- Siwan

=====

Anil Mahto @ Anil Kumar Mahato son of Hiralal Mahato Village -Kachnar
PS -Siswan, Dist- siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subodh Kumar Barnwal

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Siswan P.S. Case No.212/2025, registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352 of
the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that her husband had gone to the house of Anil for
demanding his wages, when Anil started abusing and thereafter
a fight ensued and her husband was stabbed in the stomach.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the
informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the



allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that her husband had gone to the house of petitioner to demand his wages when the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is also submitted that the husband of the informant also assaulted the petitioner and the petitioner also got injured.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that there is a specific allegation that husband of the informant was stabbed in his stomach when he had gone to the house of the petitioner seeking his wages. It is also submitted that the order impugned also records that even the doctors have opined that the injury has been caused by sharp edged weapon and the investigation of the case is in its nascent stages.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is **rejected.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

