

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73194 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2025 Thana- KATORIYA District- Banka

1. Mannu Yadav Son of Late Sugdeo Yadav R/o Village - Parariya, P.S. - Katoria, District - Banka.
2. Bimli Devi Wife of Mannu Yadav R/o Village - Parariya, P.S. - Katoria, District - Banka.
3. Khira Yadav @ Rajendra Yadav Son of Mannu Yadav R/o Village - Parariya, P.S. - Katoria, District - Banka.
4. Upendra @ Chhotu Yadav @ Upendra Kumar Yadav Son of Mannu Yadav R/o Village - Parariya, P.S. - Katoria, District - Banka.
5. Bachchu Yadav Son of Mannu Yadav R/o Village - Parariya, P.S. - Katoria, District - Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-11-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 303(2), 74, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that on 24.04.2025, all these accused petitioners abused and assaulted informant with *lathi* and axe due to which she sustained injuries on her right leg. It is further alleged that when the family



members of informant came to save her, they were also assaulted as a result of which they sustained injuries on the head.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. As a matter of fact, both parties are agnates and due to land dispute, a simple quarrel took place between the parties in which both sides sustained injuries. There is case and counter-case. Allegation of assault is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against these petitioners.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and case and counter-case between the parties, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Banka in connection with Katoria P.S. Case No. 116 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

