

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73985 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-169 Year-2025 Thana- RAGHUNATHPUR District- Siwan

1. Ranjay Kumar Manjhi @ Ranjay Manjhi son of Saptami Manjhi Resident Of Village- Pipra, Dighwalia, Police Station-Raghunathpur District -Siwan
2. Ranjit Manjhi @ Ranjit Kumar Manjhi son of Saptami Manjhi Resident Of Village- Pipra, Dighwalia, Police Station-Raghunathpur District -Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kumar Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-11-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehends their arrest in connection with Raghunathpur P.S. Case No. 169 of 2025, dated 24.06.2025, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2), 303(2) and 3/5 of the B.N.S.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 21.06.2025 at about 9 A.M. dispute concerning the 'Goat', accused persons came to the door of the informant and started shouting abuses, when informant prohibit them, then Krishna Manjhi asked to kill her, thereafter Ranjay Manjhi and Rajnit Manjhi with intention to kill her, Ranjan Manjhi blow Chaku on her stomach and Ranjit Manjhi blow Chaku on her right leg and whenever



she fell, then Saptami Manjhi blow Farsha on her right hand, after shouting accused persons fled away after snatching her "Mangal Sutra' from her neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that nature of injuries caused to the informant and report to this effect, which is appended with the anticipatory bail application, does not corroborate the allegation, which is levelled against these petitioners. It is further submitted that these petitioners are neighbours and have clean antecedent and for a very trivial and minor dispute, for not permitting the goat to graze, the alleged incident is said to have occurred. There is counter version of the said incident, which is also placed on record as Annexure-P/2. From the impugned order, it appears that the injuries are simple in nature.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts that there is case and counter case between the parties and the injuries, which is said to have been caused by the petitioners are simple in nature, let the above named petitioners, be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Siwan in connection with Raghunathpur P.S. Case No. 169 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 of the B.N.S. as well as the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner (s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner (s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner (s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner (s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

7. In case of grant of privilege of anticipatory bail, these petitioners shall maintain peace and harmony and would not repeat such incident in future. In case of any violation, the informant may put at liberty to take appropriate remedy in law,



which shall be examined by the Police and on finding the allegation to be true, only then such steps may be allowed to be as against the petitioners.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

pravinkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

