

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72821 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2024 Thana- SIMRI District- Darbhanga

=====

Ravi Kumar S/o Awadhesh Ray R/o Village - Chakhaje, P.O - Mohammadpur,
P.S- Tisiauta, District – Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vijaya Laxmi Srivastawa, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Amitesh Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8(C), 21(C), 22(C), 29 and 35 of the NDPS Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that a pick-up van met with an accident and the loaded goods got scattered, on search, along with Soya bags, 720 litres of Eskuf syrup was recovered and the driver of the vehicle Nitish was apprehended who disclosed that the goods were loaded by a person carrying mobile number 8145220836 and the person said to deliver the consignment to the person carrying



mobile number 7250956211.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case based on confessional statement of Nitish in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that petitioner was not found present at the place of occurrence and he came to be implicated merely on the confessional statement of Nitish who disclosed that the consignment was loaded by a person who carried mobile number 8145220836. It is next submitted that no doubt the mobile number belongs to the petitioner but merely because the SIM is registered in the name of the petitioner that in itself is not a proof of his involvement in the occurrence.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that the case has been registered under the NDPS Act and investigation of the case is in initial stage and the petitioner has been made an accused based on his mobile number which requires investigation and in the event if the petitioner is granted the privilege of anticipatory bail, the petitioner may abscond or try to tamper with the evidence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of



anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Simri Bazar
P.S. Case No.277 of 2024. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of
anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

