

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77386 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-889 Year-2022 Thana- KHAGARIA COMPALINT CASE
District- Khagaria

=====

Md. Taj Uddin @ Taj Uddin Khan Son of Late Kashim Khan Resident of
Village- Ratan, Police Station- Gogri, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ashma Khatun @ Salma Daughter of Md. Tamij Khan Resident of Village-
Ratan, Police Station- Gogri, District- Khagaria

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rama Kant Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs. Sharda Kumari, APP
For O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 16-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.

2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case no.889C of 2022 registered under section
498A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the D.P. Act.

3. The case is one under Section 498A of the Indian
Penal Cod and petitioner is the husband. The allegation against
him is of demand of dowry and torture.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
earlier the matter had been sent to the Patna High Court
Mediation Centre vide order dated 22.03.2025 but the mediation



Complaint Case no.889C of 2022 process has failed. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the allegation of demand of dowry and torture is false and concocted. The petitioner is always ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour but it is the opposite party no.2 who never wants to live with the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to co-operate in case/trial.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State as also learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner makes an offer to pay Rs. 1,500/- to his wife in the second week of every month for her basic requirements. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceeding.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Complaint Case no.889C of 2022 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023 and subject to the further condition that the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation/trial.

8. If the opposite party no. 2 furnishes the Bank account in which the amount can be transferred and yet the petitioner fails to make the aforesaid payment of Rs. 1,500/- on two consecutive dates, the opposite party no. 2 would be at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

