

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78848 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2024 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Rakesh Ranjan Son of Kumar Anand Resident of Village - Baradhi Gola,
Police Station - Akorhi Gola, District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Anu Kumari Wife of Rakesh Ranjan, Daughter of Late Jay Shankar Prasad
Resident of Village and Post - Rajpur, P.S. - Rajpur, District - Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs.Meena Singh, APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	Mr. Raghunandan Kr. Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 26-06-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.

2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case no.28 of 2024 registered under section
498A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the D.P. Act.

3. The case is one under Section 498A of the Indian
Penal Code and petitioner is the husband. The allegation against
the petitioner is of demand of dowry and torture.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
earlier the matter had been sent to the Patna High Court
Mediation Centre vide order dated 30.04.2025 but the mediation



process has failed. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the allegation of demand of dowry and torture is false and concocted. The petitioner is always ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour but it is the complainant who never wants to live with the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to co-operate in case/trial.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State as also learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner makes an offer to pay Rs. 4,000/- to his wife in the second week of every month for her basic requirements. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceeding.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Complaint Case no.28 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



Court below, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023 and subject to the further condition that the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation/trial.

8. If the opposite party no. 2 furnishes the Bank account in which the amount can be transferred and yet the petitioner fails to make the aforesaid payment of Rs. 4,000/- on two consecutive dates, the opposite party no. 2 would be at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

