

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17806 of 2025

=====

Gopesh Kumar S/o- Sri Rahgwendra Yadav, R/o- Village Mohanpur, Ward No.- 06, Bardaha- Goth P.S.- Ghailar, Dist.- Madhepura Bihar, PIN- 852202.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Human Resources Development Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Department of Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.
5. The District Education Officer, Madhepura.
6. The District Programmed Officer, Madhepura.
7. The Block Development Officer, Ghailar Block, District- Madhepura.
8. The Block Education Extension Officer, Ghailar, Block, District- Madhepura.
9. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Bhatrandha Parmanandpur, Block- Ghailar, District- Madhepura.
10. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Bhatrandha, Parmanandpur, Block- Ghailar, District- Madhepura.
11. The District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, Madhepura through its Member.
12. Awdhesh Kumar, Son of Late Rasiklal Yadav Resident of Village- Parmanandpur, P.S.- Ghailar, District- Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjit Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Advocate (05)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-11-2025 Counsel for the State submits that against the order passed by the District Appellate Authority that there is forum created where these grievances could have been redressed by petitioner.

2. To this, the learned counsel for the petitioner



submits that he had already moved before the State Appellate Authority and *vide* order dated 11.06.2019, the matter was remanded before the District Appellate Authority, who has passed the order, which is under challenge. In case, this Court is of the view that this matter could be adjudicated before the State Appellate Authority, in such event, the counsel for the petitioner makes a prayer that time may be fixed, so that the matter could be adjudicated within a time frame, as the issues pertains of the year 2011.

3. Considering the above and looking to the nature of dispute, the counsel for the petitioner is directed to take appropriate remedy before the State Appellate Authority by filing appropriate appeal as provided in law within a period of two weeks from today. In case, if such appeal is filed, it is expected that by giving priority to the case, as this matter pertains to the year 2011, be adjudicated preferably within a period of three months from the date of filing of the appeal.

4. Accordingly, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

abhishekk/-

U			
---	--	--	--

